INTRODUCTION

Market transformations occur not only under the influence of changes in the environment, i.e. among the entities and objects that form a certain market, but also under the influence of passing time. It causes evolutionary or revolutionary changes in customer behavior. Such changes may assume a desirable, positive form and be accepted by other market participants, or they can be contrary to accepted social norms. According to Fullerton and Punj [1998] such behavior is the great paradox of modern consumer culture. Dysfunctional consumer behavior has become an inherent part of modern consumer behavior. The purpose of this paper is to review the results of research on dysfunctional consumer behavior based on selected scientific publications. The presentation of research topics and results will be made in two separate parts. The first part concerns the dysfunctional behaviors of customers, i.e. the way they are presented in the literature and the factors determining such behavior. The second part includes a review of research results concerning the broadly understood consequences of dysfunctional customer behavior.

**Key words:** customer behavior, dysfunctional customer behavior, research review

**JEL codes:** D11, D12

---

**Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the grant no. DOB.01.20.0029 from the National Science Centre.

---

**Disclosure**

The author declares no conflict of interest.

---

**References**


---

Dysfunctional Customer Behavior – A Review of Research Findings

Krzysztof Błoński

University of Szczecin, Poland

ABSTRACT

Market changes in consumer behavior can take a desirable, positive form and be accepted by other market participants, or they can be contrary to accepted social norms. According to Fullerton and Punj [1998] such behavior is the great paradox of modern consumer culture. Dysfunctional customer behavior has become an inherent part of modern consumer behavior. The purpose of this paper is to review the results of research on dysfunctional customer behavior based on selected scientific publications. The presentation of research topics and results will be made in two separate parts. The first part concerns the dysfunctional behaviors of customers, i.e. the way they are presented in the literature and the factors determining such behavior. The second part includes a review of research results concerning the broadly understood consequences of dysfunctional customer behavior.
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INTRODUCTION

Market transformations occur not only under the influence of changes in the environment, i.e. among the entities and objects that form a certain market, but also under the influence of passing time. It causes evolutionary or revolutionary changes in customer behavior. Such changes may assume a desirable, positive form and be accepted by other market participants. This is exemplified by the presentations in the relevant literature of factors influencing such changes [Mothersbaugh and Hawkins 2016, Solomon 2018].

The market also reveals changes that are contrary to accepted social norms, which are the result not only of changes taking place in the market but also of individual characteristics of customers. These may include: stealing, lying, forging documents, vulgar or aggressive behavior towards sellers or other customers, or alcohol or drug abuse. These are in the vast minority in comparison to positive changes, which does not mean that they escape researchers dealing with consumer behavior.

According to Fullerton and Punj [1998], consumer misbehavior is the great paradox of contemporary consumer culture – its positive features trigger its negative ones. Consumer misbehavior has become an inherent part of modern consumer behavior and is sustained by the same factors that define the essence of consumer culture. That is, consumer misbehavior is the result of the inadvertent stimulation of consumption ideology...
The purpose of this article is to review research findings on dysfunctional customer behavior based on selected academic publications. The presentation of the research results will be made in two separate parts. The first part will concern the dysfunctional behaviors of customers, i.e. the way they are described in the relevant literature and the factors that determine such behavior. The second part will include a review of research results concerning the broadly understood consequences of dysfunctional customer behavior.

DYSFUNCTIONAL CUSTOMER BEHAVIOR – DEFINITION, FACTORS SHAPING THEIR BEHAVIOR

Disapproved customer behavior does not have a single name in the literature, it is referred to as: jaycustomers, problematic customers, dishonest customers, bad customers, abnormal consumer behavior, bad consumer behavior, deviant consumer behavior, opportunistic consumer behavior, unethical consumer behavior, dysfunctional customer behavior, consumer retaliation, verbal aggression by customers, customers from hell or pathological consumer behavior [Smyczek et al. 2017]. A review of definitions reveals that authors address different aspects of such behaviors and use ethical, pathological, or deviant issues in their definitions (Table 1). The cited definitions indicate that there are no fundamental differences between the perception of such behaviors; the proposed nomenclature can be treated as similar, complementary. For the purposes of this article the author has adopted the phrase of ‘dysfunctional customer behavior’ as a neutral term in comparison with others mentioned above [Harris and Reynolds 2003].

Fisk and others [2010], based on previous research findings, distinguished various categories of such behaviors. In addition to the aforementioned stealing, vandalism and verbal abuse they indicate that such actions may have different motives (financial and non-financial), they may be impulsive or planned, with different frequency, as well as having overt or covert nature [Fisk et al. 2010, p. 420].

Consumer behavior in the literature is generally analyzed in the context of factors that influence such behavior in the market. Also in this case of dysfunctional behaviors one can point to theoretical and research achievements. Examples of publications that deal with this subject can be [Fisk et al. 2010, Smyczek et al. 2017].

Looking at the general pattern of consumer behavior, the basis of every action is a motive. In the case of dysfunctional behavior, the motive may be financial, one’s own ego gratification, or a revenge motive [Daunt and Harris 2012]. On this basis, three types of dysfunctional behaviors were identified that differ in terms of behavioral motives. These are:
1. Financial egotists – in this case financial and selfish issues are the motive for the dysfunctional behavior.
2. Money grabbers – motivated purely by financial concerns
3. Ego revengers – the motivation for misbehavior is revenge and ego issues.

Examples of other surveys addressing the issue of motives for clients’ dysfunctional behaviors include among others [Harris and Reynolds 2004].

It should be noted that research on the causes of dysfunctional customer behavior is not limited to the issue of motives. An example of research that verifies models that may explain dysfunctional behavior is presented, for example, in the work of Reynolds and Harris [2009]. Other authors analyze the importance of only certain groups of factors or single variables. These may include institutional and socio-cultural factors [Agnihotri and Bhattacharya 2019], the importance of place of birth (city or village) [Zhao and Xu 2013] or impulse buying [Bossuyt et al., 2017]. Another notable line of research is the creation of typologies of dysfunctional consumers. Examples of such research are the works of [Zemke and Anderson 1990, Fullerton and Punj 2004, Harris and Reynolds 2004, Dogan et al. 2017, Smyczek et al. 2017].

---

1 This article is part of a broader research project in which members of the research team, to which the author belongs, set themselves the goal of examining dysfunctional human behavior as a consumer and employee.
Another area of research on dysfunctional customer behavior is the consequences of the behavior of such customers. Consequences refer not only to the financial losses incurred by firms, but also to the consequences incurred by employees who come into direct contact with such customers. In a study by Harris and Reynolds [2003] in relation to a group of employees, four sets of such consequences are distinguished:

1. Long-term psychological – the result of employees being exposed to prolonged dysfunctional customer behavior. They are juxtaposed with the term “long-term” to indicate a situation where the consequences last much longer than the occurrence of the particular dysfunctional client behavior. Consequences included in this group take two forms: persistent feelings of degradation and disorders resulting from stress.

2. Short-term emotional – affect employees for a shorter period of time than those in the previous group.

---

**Table 1.** Selected definitions of inappropriate customer behavior in the relevant literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Term in English</th>
<th>Definition, description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lovelock Ch. and Wirtz J. [2011]</td>
<td>jaycustomers</td>
<td>A customer acting in a thoughtless or inappropriate manner, causing problems for both companies, staff and other customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton R.A. and Punj G. [1993]</td>
<td>aberrant consumer behavior</td>
<td>These are behaviors that violate generally accepted norms of conduct in the market, considered unacceptable by sellers and most consumers. The consequences of such behavior include: destruction of seller’s property; intimidation, physical and psychological victimization of other consumers and staff; material loss as a result of various types of sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton R.A. and Punj G. [2004]</td>
<td>consumer misbehavior</td>
<td>Behaviors that violate generally accepted norms of behavior in the consumption sphere and thus disrupt the order of consumption. Bad behavior is part of people’s role as consumers in the market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moschis G.P. and Cox D. [1989]</td>
<td>deviant consumer behavior</td>
<td>Behavior that deviates from commonly accepted norms, standards. Standards and norms take the form of customs, rules, regulations, laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes-Roth B. [1982]</td>
<td>opportunistic consumer behavior</td>
<td>Opportunism can be defined as the insidious pursuit of maximizing consumer benefits, which includes lying, stealing, or cheating. The problems people face, such as where to shop and what to buy, are based on an opportunistic approach. This means that what may seem like random disorder is actually a common consumer behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell V.W., Balabanis G., Schlegelmilch B.B. and Cornwell T.B. [2009]</td>
<td>unethical consumer behavior</td>
<td>Direct or indirect actions that cause businesses or other consumers to lose money or reputation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds K.L. and Harris L.C. [2009]</td>
<td>customer dysfunctional behavior</td>
<td>These are situations where the consumer knowingly violates commonly accepted norms of behavior in the sphere of consumption. The use of the term dysfunctional behavior is intended to emphasize the issue of intent and violation of norms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smyczek S., Grybś – Kabocik M., Matysiewicz J., Tetla A. [2017]</td>
<td>pathological consumer behavior</td>
<td>Behaviors that are contrary to generally accepted norms of behavior in the context of consumption, having a negative impact both on the consumers who conduct such behavior, other consumers, employees, and the company.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s own compilation based on [Smyczek et al., 2017, p. 48–55].
They manifest themselves in the form of a negative impact on the mood or temperament of employees or the need to pretend to show emotions, usually in order to calm disruptive customers.

3. Behavioral – manifested in the form of lowered employee morale and reduced levels of motivation. In situations where dysfunctional behaviors are sporadic and non-invasive, a positive impact on employee team performance can be observed. In these cases, team leaders may reveal themselves and team members may offer compassion and support. Among their reactions, employees may also move toward revenge, retaliation (but these are most likely to be actions designed to allow them to unwind previous dysfunctional behaviors), or sabotaging the efforts of dysfunctional clients.

4. Physical effects – focuses on the physical impact on employees who come in contact with dysfunctional customers. They refer to tangible injuries or damage to employees and/or their property. Therefore, two forms can be identified in this group: violence against the employee and damage to employees’ personal property.

To review the research findings related to the consequences of dysfunctional behavior, the classification of consumer misbehavior presented in the work by Fullerton and Punj [2004] can be used as a reference for presenting the research findings of other authors. Fullerton and Punj [2004] proposed five basic categories of consumer misbehavior:

1. Consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s employees.
2. Consumer misbehavior directed against other consumers in the exchange setting.
3. Consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s merchandise and services.
4. Consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s financial assets.
5. Consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s physical or electronic premises.

The first category – consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s employees – is characterized by an overt, verbal or physical attack on an employee of a specific company. The premise of such consumer behavior is rebellion or intimidation in order to exert a specific influence on an employee of a company as well as satisfaction resulting from the attack itself. In the case of dysfunctional customer behavior directed at company employees, we are primarily dealing with employee stress. This is evidenced by research [Dormann and Zapf 2004] indicating that there is a relationship between dysfunctional customer behavior and employee dissatisfaction and stress. On the other hand, frequent experience of dysfunctional behaviors increases stress levels as a result of trying to control their negative reactions [Grandey et al. 2007]. Completing the picture may be research findings [Yue et al. 2017] suggesting that employees’ exposure to dysfunctional behavior harms them by increasing stress levels and impairing organizational performance. On the other hand, some researchers [Chi et al. 2018] note that dysfunctional behavior causes stressful situations for employees, whose most common response to such situations is to leave the company. This is supported by several studies [Babakus et al., 2010]. The possibility of preventing such employee departure is indicated by research findings from Gong and Wang [2019]. Examples of other research findings in which the theme of interaction between dysfunctional customers and the employees serving them is addressed include: Isin et al. [2010], Fong et al. [2017], Karatepe et al. [2009], Li and Zhou [2013], Rafaeli et al. [2012], Tan et al. [2020], Tsang et al. [2011], Yagil [2008].

The second set of research findings analyzed can be described as consumer misbehavior directed against other consumers in the exchange setting. In this case, we are also dealing with an overt verbal or physical attack, but directed at another consumer participating in the same transaction. The attacker in this situation shows dislike for the other consumer, which for the former is often a source of pleasure. The purpose of such consumer behavior is mainly to attract the attention of others.

One of the themes addressed in research in this area is the issue of how dysfunctional behavior affects other customers. The literature offers the view that the more consumers observe other consumers engaging in unethical behavior, the more likely they are to act in the same or similar ways [Albers-Miller 1999a, Reynolds and Harris 2005, Wu and Chen 2013, Liu et al. 2015, Yang et al. 2015]. According to Harris and Reynolds [2003], some customers may imitate observed
unethical behavior while others do not engage in such unethical behavior. Whereas Yang et al. [2017] found that consumers are more likely to imitate the dysfunctional behavior of others when the behavior is performed by a like-minded person. Research findings from this area can also be found in [He et al. 2019].

The third set of research findings on the broad consequences of dysfunctional customer behavior can be called “consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s merchandise and services”. Such behavior is externalized by committing theft, the form of which may vary from violent assaults to the use of new technologies for this purpose. The emergence of such situations is often a consequence of open and easy access to goods and services offered by individuals. In this area, shoplifting has been a frequent research subject [Kallis et al. 1986, Cox et al. 1990, Cox et al. 1993, Phillips et al. 2005]. Due to the development of computer technology and the Internet, the attention of researchers has also been directed to the issues of digital piracy and “softlifting” [Tong and Yap 1998, Tan 2002, Moore and Chang 2006, Chen and Huang 2016]. It is also important to note that this theme in the research is expanding toward identifying the importance of mobile and virtual technologies for consumer misbehavior [Zolfagharian and Yazdanparast 2017].

This does not mean that all research interest has shifted to the online world. Research continues to be conducted, for example, on the reasons for purchasing contraband products [Albers-Miller 1999b] or the reasons for purchasing counterfeit luxury products [Wilcox et al. 2009].

Actions in the case of “consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s financial assets” involve the acquisition of a company’s financial assets through criminal means. Consumers engaging in such actions, in order to defraud often use the policies, strategies or tools put in place by the business to facilitate the customer’s acquisition of goods or services. Examples of such behavior may include embezzlement, false claims for store accidents, credit card and lending fraud, spreading negative rumors, or product sabotage, among others. In the Polish literature, the description of the phenomenon of unauthorized payment card transactions, but from the perspective of the banking sector is presented, among others, in the paper [Staszczyk 2015], while in the article [Kurzeja and Zakomnik 2014] the authors presented the techniques of fraud in e-commerce on the Internet using anonymizing networks.

The final set of findings is “consumer misbehavior directed against a marketer’s physical or electronic premises”. These are actions (e.g., vandalism) taken against company-owned property or actions (e.g., hacking) taken in e-commerce. The main motive for such actions is the desire to seek strong impressions or the need to validate one’s skills. In this set according to Fullerton and Punj [2004] examples of research findings include the work of [Lévy-Leboyer 1984, Hagan et al. 1985].

RECAPITULATION

As indicated in the introduction, dysfunctional behavior is an inherent component of contemporary consumer behavior. The presented examples of works on dysfunctional customer behavior are a fragment of a larger whole. However, they allow us to get an idea of the direction of research being carried out and the state of knowledge about dysfunctional customer behavior. From the theoretical and practical point of view, a significant direction of research is the relationship between employees and consumers under dysfunctional behavior. One of the overlooked directions of research is to analyze dysfunctional human behavior as a consumer and employee. According to the author of this article, it can be assumed that there is a relationship between dysfunctional human behavior as a consumer and an employee and one of these areas can determine the existence of the other. This approach will be the subject of further research.

---

2 Softlifting is a common type of software piracy in which a legally licensed software program is installed or copied in violation of its licensing agreement. Unlike commercial piracy, the purpose of softlifting is to provide the program to multiple users rather than to sell copies for profit. Softlifting is also known as end user piracy or softloading. The most common examples of softlifting are: Providing software to more corporate users than are covered by the license agreement; Installing software licensed to an organization on home-based computers; Sharing software among friends.
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DYSFUNKCYJNE ZACHOWANIA KLIENTÓW – PRZEGLĄD WYNIKÓW BADAŃ
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